1) 8th Macedonian Mathematical Olympiad of 2000:

Prove that if $m \cdot s = 2000^{2001}$ where $\frac{are integers}{s}$; then the equation $m \times 2 - sy^2 = 3$ has no integer solution.

2) 2000 Math Olympiad of Ireland: If f(x) = 5x13+13x5+9ax, find the least positive integer a such that 65 divides f(x) for every integer x.

> Konstantine Zelator P.O. BOX 4280 Pittsburgh, PA 15203 USA

Also:

KZelator College of Science and Mathematics Norwich University 158 Harmon Drive Northfield, VT 05663 USA

E-mail addresses:

1) Konstantine_zelator@yahoo.com

August 31, 2018

2) Kzelator@norwich.edu

September 2, 2018

1. Introduction



The subject matter of this paper is two Mathematical Olympiad problems from the year 2000.

The first problem, listed below as PROBLEM1, was featured in the 2000 Macedonian Mathematical Olympiad.

The second problem, PROBLEM2, was part of the 13th Irish Mathematical Olympiad of 2000.

Let us state the two problems:

PROBLEM 1

8th MACEDONIAN MATHEMATICAL OLYMPIAD OF 2000

Problem#1: Prove that if m.s= 2000; where m, s \(\mathbb{Z} \); then the equation $mx^2-sy^2=3$ has no solution in \mathbb{Z} .

PROBLEM2

MATHEMATICAL OLYMPIAD 13 th IRISH May 6, 2000

Time: 6 hours

Problem#3: Let f(x) = 5x13+13x5+9ax.

Find the least positive integer a such that 65 divides f(x) for every integer x

In our solution to PROBLEM2 in Section 6, we show that a = 63 is the smallest such positive integer.

1. Introduction



PROBLEM1 is published in the November 2004 issue

of the journal CRUX MATHEMATICORUM; issue No 7, Volume 30, on page 414.

published in the December PROBLEM2 is 2004 issue of CRUX; issue No8, Volume 30, page 377.

There are five results in this papers Results 1-5 Result 1 and Result2 pertain to PROBLEM1:

Result1

Let a be an integer not divisible by 3; a EZZ and a \$0 (mod3). And let n be a non-negative even integer and k a positive odd integer; $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \ge 0$, $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$; $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ Then:

- $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$
- (ii) an =1(mod3)
- (iii) If $a \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$; $a^k \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$
- (iv) If $a \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$; $a^k \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$

1. Introduction



We prove Result1 in Section2. Then, in Section3, using Result1, we present a proof to Result2:

Result 2

Let b and c be fixed (or given) positive integers, with b being congruent to 2 (mod 3); and c being odd; b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^+, b \equiv 2 (mod 3), and c \equiv 1 (mod 2).

Moreover, let d1 and d2 be integer divisors of the integer b, such that d1.d2 = b.

Consider the 2-variable equation,

d1 · x2 - d2 · y2 = 3 ..

Then, this equation has no integer solution

Note that Result2 generalizes PRUBLEM 1: The hypothesis in PRUBLEM1 is a special case of the hypothesis of Result 2. Indeed, it is the case $b = 2000 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and $c = 2001 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

Introduction

page4

The next three results are in connection to PROBLEM 2.

Result3 stated below, is the well known theorem in number theory; known as Fermat's Little Cheorem.

We state it without proof.

```
Results (Fermat's Little Cheorem)
```

Let p be an odd prime number; and let a be an integer not divisible by the prime p; $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a \not\equiv o \pmod{p}$ (or equivalently, since p is a prime, g.c.d (a, p) = 1). Then,

In particular,

(i) For p=5: $a^4 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$

(ii) For p=13: $a^{12} \equiv 1 \pmod{13}$

We use Result3 in the solution to PROBLEM2 in Section 6.

Introduction



Next we have,

Result 4

Let 5 be the set of all integer solution pairs to the 2-variable linear equation,

5y = 13x + 8.

 $S = \{(x,y) \mid x,y \in \mathbb{Z}; \text{ and } 5y = 13x + 8\}.$

Then, the set S can be described in terms of one integer parameter t:

 $S = \{(x,y) \mid (x,y) = (5t-16, 13t-40); t \in \mathbb{Z}; \}$ $t = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, ...$

The solution set S consists of all pairs (x,y) of the form,

(x,y) = (5t-16, 13t-40); where t can be any integer; $t \in \mathbb{Z}; t = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, ...$

We prove Result 4 in Section 4.

page6

Introduction

In Section 5, wing Result 4, we establish Result 5:

Result 5

Let T be the set of all integers V such that V = 5y = 13x + 8; for some integers X and Y; $T = \{ v \mid v \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } V = 5y = 13x + 8; \text{ for } x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \}$

Then, T consists of all integers of the form 65t-200; where t can be any integer:

 $T = \{ v | v \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } v = 65t - 200; t \in \mathbb{Z}; t = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots \}$

In Section 6, using Result3 and Result5; we present a solution to PROBLEM 2. We show that the answer to PROBLEM 2 is a=63.

Section 2: Proof of Result1



- (i) Since $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$; we have $a \equiv 1$ or $2 \pmod{3}$. If $a \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then $a^2 \equiv 1 \cdot 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. And if $a \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, $a^2 \equiv 2 \equiv 2 \cdot 2 \equiv 4 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$
- (ii) n is a non-negative integer; n=2p, $g\in\mathbb{Z}$, $p\geq 0$. Since $p\geq 0$, it is clear that a^g is an integer since $a\in\mathbb{Z}$; $a^g\in\mathbb{Z}$; and $a^g\neq 0 \pmod 3$; since we have, $a^n=a^{2g}=(a^g)^2\equiv 1 \pmod 3$.

 By part(i), in view of $a^g\neq 0 \pmod 3$
- (iii) Since K is an odd positive integer; we have k = 2w + 1; $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $w \ge 0$. We have: $a^k = a^{2w+1} = a \cdot a \equiv 1 \cdot a \equiv a \pmod{3}$, $\equiv 2 \pmod{3}$;

Since 2w is an even non-negative integer and thus, by part(i), $a^{2w} \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$; in view of $a \equiv 2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

(iv) We have, $a^{k} = a \cdot a = 1 \cdot a = a = 1 \pmod{3}$ by part(i)

Section 3: Proof of Result2

We have:

Ve have:

$$\begin{cases} b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^+; b \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \text{ and } c \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \\ \text{And }, d_1 \cdot d_2 = b^c; \text{ with } d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} And & \text{the equation,} \\ d_1 \cdot x^2 - d_2 \cdot y^2 = 3; x, y \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} d_1 \cdot x^2 - d_2 \cdot y^2 = 3; x, y \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

First, observe that the equation in (1) cannot have a solution with x·y = 0(mod3); a solution with at least one of x, y being divisible by 3. To see this, note that from (1) it follows that

$$b^{c} \equiv 2^{c} \pmod{3}$$

 $c, b \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, b \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, c \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ (2)

But then, since c is an odd positive integer; it follows from Result 1(iii) that,

$$\left\{ 2^{c} \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \right\}$$
 (3)

From (3) and (2),

And since (by (1)),
$$d_1 \cdot d_2 = b^c$$
;

We have, $d_1 \cdot d_2 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$

Thus, in particular, $d_1 d_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$

And since 3 is a prime; $d_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$

Section 3: Proof of Result 2

page 9

Now, back to the observation that the equation in (1) cannot have an integer solution (x,y) with $xy \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

Indeed, if $x \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then $-d_2 \cdot y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$; as it easily follows from (1).

But then, since $d_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ by (4); $-d_2 \cdot y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ implies that 3 divides y^2 ; and thus 3 divides y.

Same argument if $y \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$: (1) implies that x must also be divisible by 3 (since $d_1 \neq 0 \pmod{3}$ by (4))

We have shown that if $xy \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then

x = y = 0 (mod 3); which implies that the left-hand side of the equation in (1) is divisible by 9; an impossibility, since the right-hand side of (1) is equal to 3.

We have shown that (1) implies: $x \neq 0 \pmod{3} \iff x \neq 0 \pmod{3} \pmod{y \neq 0 \pmod{3}}$ since 3 is prime

Therefore, (5) further implies that $\left\{ x^2 \equiv y^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \right\} (6)$

From (6) and (1) we further obtain,

 $d_1 \cdot 1 - d_2 \cdot 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3};$ $\left\{ d_1 \equiv d_2 \pmod{3} \right\} (7)$

Hence, it follows from (7) that,

 $\begin{cases} d_1 \cdot d_2 \equiv d_1 \cdot d_1 \equiv (d_1)^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \text{sink } d_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3} \text{ (by (4))} \text{ and} \\ \text{by } \underbrace{\text{Result 1(i)}} \end{cases}$

Clearly, we have a contradiction: In (8) we have $d_1 \cdot d_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$,

while in (7) we have $d_1 \cdot d_2 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$.

this proves that the equation (1) has no integer solution.

page 11

Section 4: Proof of Result 4

We have the equation,

$$\begin{cases}
5y = 13 \times +8; \\
x, y \in \mathbb{Z}
\end{cases}$$
(1)

(a) First, sufficiency: We show that every pair (x,y) of the form (x,y) = (5t-16, 13t-40); $t \in \mathbb{Z}$; is a solution to (1)

Indeed: 5y = 5(13t-40) = 65t-200And 13x+8 = 13(5t-16)+8 = 65t-(13)(16)+8 $= 65t-200=5y \ \square$

(b) Next, necessity: We show that if (x,y) is a solution pair to (1); then x = 5t - 16 and y = 13t - 40; for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We have (1)
$$\Rightarrow$$
 $y = \frac{13 \times + 8}{5}$ $y = \frac{13 \times + 8}{5}$

 $\begin{cases} y = \frac{10x}{5} + \frac{3x+8}{5}; \\ x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \end{cases}$ Or equivalently,

$$\begin{cases} y = 2x + \frac{3x+8}{5}; \\ y \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{Z} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} y = 2x + \frac{3x+8}{5}; \\ y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ and } \frac{3x+8}{5} = z \in \mathbb{Z} \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} y = 2x + z; \\ y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in \mathbb{Z}, z \in \mathbb{Z}; \text{ and } 3x = 5z - 8 \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} y = 2x + z; \\ y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in \mathbb{Z}, z \in \mathbb{Z}; \text{ and } 3x = 5z - 8 \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} y = 2x + z; \\ y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in \mathbb{Z}; \text{ and } x = 2z - (\frac{z+8}{3}); \\ \text{and } x = \frac{5z-8}{3} = 2z - (\frac{z+8}{3}); \\ \text{and } x = \frac{5z-8}{3} = t \in \mathbb{Z} \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} y = 2x + z; \\ y, x, z \in \mathbb{Z}; \text{ and } x = 2z - t \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} y = 2x + z; \\ y, x, z \in \mathbb{Z}; \text{ and } x = 2z - t \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} y = 2x + z; \\ z = 3t - 8; t \in \mathbb{Z}; \text{ and } x = 2z - t \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} y = 2x + z; \\ y, x, z \in \mathbb{Z}; \text{ and } x = 2z - t \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} y = 2x + z; \\ z = 3t - 8; t \in \mathbb{Z}; \text{ and } x = 2z - t \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} y = 2x + z; \\ z = 3t - 8; x = 2x - (\frac{z+8}{3}); \\ z = 3t - 8; x = 2x - (\frac{z+8}{3}); \\ z = 3t - 8; x = 2x - (\frac{z+8}{3}); \\ z = 3t - 8; x = 2x - (\frac{z+8}{3}); \\ z = 3t - 8; x = 2x - (\frac{z+8}{3}); \\ z = 3t - 8; x = 2x - (\frac{z+8}{3}); \\ z = 3t - 8; x = 2x - (\frac{z+8}{3}); \\ z = 3t - 8; x = 2x - (\frac{z+8}{3}); \\ z = 3t - 8; x = 2x - (\frac{z+8}{3}); \\ z = 3t - 8; x = 2x - (\frac{z+8}{3}); \\ z = 2x - 2x + 2; \\ z = 3t - 8; x = 2x - (\frac{z+8}{3}); \\ z = 2x - 2x + 2; \\ z = 3t - 8; x = 2x - 2x - 2x + 2; \\ z = 2x + 2x + 2; \\ z$$

Section 5: Proof of Results.

We have the set,

T={ V V \(\infty = \infty \) and V= \(5y = 13 \times + 8 \) for \(x, y \in \infty \)}

We wish to show that every element of v is of the form, v=65t-200, for some $t\in\mathbb{Z}$

First, let us show that if v=65t-200, for $t\in\mathbb{Z}$. Then v is an element of T; $v\in T$.

Indeed: V = 65t - 200 = 5(13t - 40) = 65t - 208 + 8= 13(5t - 16) + 8

Therefore, V=5y=13x+8; where $y=13t-40 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x=5t-16 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Gonversely, suppose that VET. Then, by the definition of the set T; we have

v= 5y =13×+8; for some (x,y)∈ Z×Z.

By Result4, integer pair (x,y) is of the form; (x,y) = (5t-16, 13t-40), for some integer t; $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Yherefore, V=5y=5(13t-40)=65t-200= 13x+8=13(5t-16)+8=65t-200VThe proof is complete

Let A be the set of all integers a with the property that $f(x) = 5x + 13x^5 + 9ax$ is divisible by 65, for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$;

 $A = \left\{ a \mid a \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } f(x) = 5x^{13} + 13x^{5} + 9ax \right\}$ (1) is divisible by 65 for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Since 65=5-13, and 5 and 13 are both primes;

 $\begin{cases} f(x) \equiv O(mod65) & \Rightarrow D(f(x) \equiv O(mod5) \text{ and} \\ for all x \in \mathbb{Z} & f(x) \equiv O(mod13) \end{cases} (2)$ when of (a)

In view of (2) and (1); we have:

 $A = \left\{ a \mid a \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } f(x) = 5x^{13} + 13x^{5} + 9ax \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \right\}$ $\text{for all } x \in \mathbb{Z}.$ And also, $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{13}$; for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$

. We will prove that the set A precisely (contains) consists all the integers of the form, a = 65t - 197.

page 15)

To do so, let us consider each of the two congruences; the congruence $f(x) \equiv O(mod5)$, and the congruence $f(x) \equiv O(mod13)$.

 $\begin{cases}
0 + 3x^5 + 9ax \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \\
\text{for all } x \in \mathbb{Z}
\end{cases}$

since $5 = 0 \pmod{5}$, $9 = 4 \pmod{5}$ and $13 = 3 \pmod{5}$

 $\begin{cases} \times \cdot (3x^4 + 4a) \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \\ \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{Z} \end{cases}$

Clearly the last congruence is true if $x \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ and a is any integer. For the last congruence to be true for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$; it is necessary and sufficient sufficient that $(x \cdot (3x^4 + 11a) \equiv 0 \pmod{5})$ For all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$; with $x \neq 0 \pmod{5}$

Or equivalently (since x \$0 (mods)),

 $\begin{cases} 3 \times 4 + 4a \equiv 0 \pmod{5}; \\ \text{For all } \times \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } \times \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5} \end{cases}$

By Result 3 (Fernat's Little Ch.) (ii); we have $x^4 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$. Thus the above congruence is equivalent to,

 $\begin{cases} 3 + 4a \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \end{cases}$ $\begin{cases} 4a \equiv -3 \equiv 2 \pmod{5} \end{cases}$ $\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} (-1)a \equiv 2 \pmod{5} \end{cases}$ $\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} a \equiv -2 \equiv 3 \pmod{5} \end{cases}$

We have shown that:

 $f(x) = 5x^{13} + 13x^{5} + 9ax \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ For all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$; $a \in \mathbb{Z}$

(4)

page 17)

We work similarly in the case of the second congruence:

$$\begin{cases} f(x) = 5x^{13} + 13x^{5} + 9ax \equiv 0 \pmod{13}; \\ \text{For all } x \in \mathbb{Z}; a \in \mathbb{Z} \end{cases}$$

$$f(x) = x \cdot (5x + 13x^4 + 9a) = O(mod 13)$$
for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$; $a \in \mathbb{Z}$

For all
$$x \in \mathbb{Z}$$
, $u \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$f(x) = x \left(5x^{12} + 0 \cdot x^4 + 9a\right) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$

$$for all x \in \mathbb{Z}; a \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\iff \begin{cases}
f(x) \equiv 5 \times^{12} + 9a \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\
\text{for all } x \in \mathbb{Z}, x \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}, a \in \mathbb{Z}
\end{cases}$$

page 18

Or equivalently,

It follows from (5), (4), and (3); that

Set
$$A = \{a \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}, a \equiv 3 \pmod{3}, \text{ and } a \equiv 11 \pmod{3}\}$$

By the definition of congruence,

$$\left\{ a \equiv 3 \pmod{a} = 11 \pmod{3} \right\}$$

$$\begin{cases} a = 3 + 5 \cdot k_1 = 11 + 13 \cdot k_2 ; \\ \text{for } k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
(1=0) \\
\text{and} \\
\text{For } k_{1}, k_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}
\end{array}$$

page 19

$$\begin{cases}
\alpha = 3 + 5k_1 = 11 + 13 k_2; \text{ and,} \\
5k_1 = 13 k_2 + 8; \\
\text{For } k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}.
\end{cases}$$

From Result 5, it follows that since $5k_1 = 13k_2 + 8$;

$$\begin{cases} k_1 = 13t - 40 \text{ and } k_2 = 5t - 16; \end{cases} (8)$$
for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}$

Consequently, from (8) and (7); it

follows that:

$$a = 3 + 5(13t - 40) = 65t + 3 - 200 = 65t - 197$$

= $13(5t - 16) + 11$
= $65t - 208 + 11 = 65t - 197$

We have proved that the set A described on page 14; consists precisely of the integers of the form 65t-197:



 $A = \{a \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ and } a = 65t - 197; t \in \mathbb{Z}\}\$ $t = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, ...$

What is the smallest positive integer in A? We set a >0 = 0 65t-197 >0,

 $t > \frac{197}{65} = 3 + \frac{2}{65} > 3$; hence, For t = 4,5,...; a > aThus, for t = 4 we have:

 $\alpha = (65)(4) - 197 = 260 - 197 = 63$

The number 63 is the smallest positive integer in the set A; and it is the answer to PROBLEM 2